

Panarchy chart: a fictional example

Stochastic Parrots and Beyond · adapted from Liberating Structures

! Fictional example

The department, the notes, and the priorities are invented for teaching. Do not copy the levels: your group discovers its own.

What the example shows

The chart on page 2 shows a completed Panarchy chart after the vote.

- **Priority 1, department level:** nobody owns the guidance on AI use. A fast level waits for a slow one.
- **Priority 2, university level:** the licensed chatbot has no documentation of its training data, so disclosures stay vague.
- **Priority 3, vendor level:** license terms restrict what users can document.
- **Upward:** a disclosure template from the department can become university policy.
- **Downward:** a legal duty to document training data supports stricter procurement.

i Liberating Structures


The structures and illustrations used in this session are adapted under Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 from the original work of Keith McCandless, Henri Lipmanowicz and Nancy White found at www.liberatingstructures.com and the Liberating Structures Fieldbook (2026). Licensed under [CC BY-SA 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/). The drawing is original. No Liberating Structures icons are used.

This handout: [CC BY-SA 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) · Stochastic Parrots and Beyond, Laura Wagner and Moritz Mähr.

Panarchy chart

Challenge: more responsible generative AI use in our research

FICTIONAL EXAMPLE



Numbered notes: the three priorities after the vote. All content is invented for teaching.

Adapted from Liberating Structures (Lipmanowicz, McCandless, White; liberatingstructures.com, Fieldbook 2026). CC BY-SA 4.0. Original drawing.